

REVIEW ARTICLE

Factors Associated with Delays in Breast Cancer Diagnosis in Low- and Middle-income Countries: A Scoping Review

Maryam Janatolmakan^{1,2}, PhD; Marziyeh Lashkari³, PhD; Rebecca H Lehto⁴, PhD; Reza Negarandeh⁵, PhD

¹Nursing and Midwifery Care Research Center, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran;

²Social Development and Health Promotion Research Center, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah, Iran;

³Radiation Oncology Research Center, Imam Khomeini Hospital Complex, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran;

⁴Department of Nursing, College of Nursing, Michigan State University, East Lansing MI, USA;

⁵Nursing and Midwifery Care Research Center, School of Nursing and Midwifery, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

Corresponding Author:

Reza Negarandeh, PhD; Nursing and Midwifery Care Research Center, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Postal code: 14197-33171, Tehran, Iran

Tel: +98 21 61054581; **Fax:** +98 21 66421685; **Email:** rnegarandeh@tums.ac.ir

Received: 01 February 2025 **Revised:** 20 October 2025 **Accepted:** 27 October 2025

Online Published: 15 November 2025

ABSTRACT

Background: Delayed breast cancer diagnosis in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) reduces the survival rates. This review identifies the causes of these delays to inform strategies for improving early detection.

Methods: This scoping review followed the Arksey and O'Malley framework to explore the factors contributing to delayed breast cancer diagnosis in LMICs. Seven databases, including PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, Cochrane Library, ProQuest, Embase, and Magiran, were searched for English and Persian studies published between January 2000 and September 2024. The search combined the keywords (e.g., "diagnostic delay," "missed diagnosis," "breast cancer," "late-stage," "barriers"), using Boolean operators. To focus on LMICs, we applied country filters, where available, and supplemented the search with manual screening of reference lists from the included studies.

Results: The initial database search identified 5,313 records. After removing 1,036 duplicates, 4,277 studies were screened based on title, abstract, and country of origin. Of these, 4,217 were excluded for reasons including irrelevance to delayed breast cancer diagnosis, study design, population, setting (e.g., high-income countries), or publication date (outside 2000–2024). The remaining 60 studies met the inclusion criteria and were included in the narrative synthesis. Extracted data were organized and interpreted using the revised Penchansky framework (accessibility, availability, acceptability, affordability, accommodation, awareness). Additional themes included misdiagnosis, competing priorities, and personal factors.

Conclusions: Multiple modifiable factors contribute to diagnostic delays in LMICs. Addressing them can accelerate diagnosis, improve outcomes, and reduce harm. Targeted improvements in these areas offer significant potential to enhance breast cancer care and save lives in LMICs.

Keywords: Breast cancer; Late diagnosis; Lower-Middle-Income Countries; Scoping review

Please cite this article as: Janatolmakan M, Lashkari M, Lehto RH, Negarandeh R. Factors Associated with Delays in Breast Cancer Diagnosis in Low- and Middle-income Countries: A Scoping Review. IJCBNM. 2026;14(1):22-42. doi: 10.30476/ijcbnm.2025.104511.2632.

INTRODUCTION

Early diagnosis plays a crucial role in improving breast cancer outcomes; however, significant diagnostic delays persist, particularly in Low- and Middle-Income Countries (LMICs).^{1, 2} These delays, often associated with various factors including limited resources, barriers to healthcare access, socio-cultural factors, and health system-related issues, lead to late-stage diagnosis, poorer prognoses, and higher mortality rates.³⁻⁵ Global surveys indicate varying rates of diagnostic delay, highlighting the universal nature of this challenge, but the complexities within LMICs remain under-explored.^{6, 7}

In developed countries, several factors, including individual factors (such as low symptom awareness, fear of diagnosis, reluctance to seek medical attention), organizational factors (such as long waiting times for appointments, poor quality of diagnostic services, lack of effective referral systems), and social factors (such as social stigma, racial disparities, lack of social support), have been identified as contributing factors to diagnostic delay.⁸⁻¹⁰ However, our understanding of the factors contributing to diagnostic delay in LMICs is limited. These countries face unique challenges such as financial and human resource scarcity, weak healthcare infrastructure, cultural and social barriers (such as misconceptions about cancer, lack of family support), and inefficient health systems, which can lead to longer diagnostic delays.¹¹⁻¹³

Although numerous primary studies have been conducted in LMICs, only a very limited number of systematic or scoping reviews have synthesized the findings of these primary studies, and those that exist are typically restricted to specific subregions, such as South Asia or sub-Saharan Africa, failing to capture the full spectrum across LMICs as a whole.^{1, 5, 11} Moreover, the factors contributing to diagnostic delay are highly context-dependent and differ substantially between high-income countries (HICs) and LMICs,

as noted earlier. Critically, no comprehensive scoping review to date has mapped the entire body of evidence on breast cancer diagnostic delays across all LMICs or systematically categorized the multilevel determinants, spanning patient, health care provider, and health system levels, that specifically operate during the diagnostic phase. Given that delays in diagnosis directly affect not only the timeliness and accuracy of detection but also subsequent treatment pathways and survival outcomes, a precise and context-specific understanding of these factors is of paramount clinical and policy relevance. Therefore, the present study is warranted to fill this gap by providing a comprehensive synthesis of evidence focused exclusively on diagnostic delay in breast cancer across LMICs, thereby informing the development of targeted, stage-specific interventions in resource-constrained settings.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present study is a scoping review conducted from October 2023 to September 2024 to explore the factors associated with delays in breast cancer diagnosis in LMICs. Scoping reviews are an effective method for assessing the breadth of existing literature on a specific topic and providing a comprehensive overview of the subject.¹⁴ In this review, we utilized the five-step methodological framework proposed by Arksey and O'Malley.¹⁵ The steps of this framework include identifying a research question, identifying relevant literature, selecting literature, charting the data, and reporting the findings. The Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR) guideline was utilized to conduct and report this scoping review.¹⁶

In identifying a research question step, a structured approach using the PCC (Population: Women with delayed diagnoses; Concept: Delay in receiving a timely diagnosis; Context: LMICs) framework guided the formulation of the research question, which

asked: What factors contribute to delayed breast cancer diagnosis in LMICs?

In identifying relevant literature, a comprehensive literature search was conducted to identify relevant studies published between January 2000 and September 2024. The following databases were searched: PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, Cochrane, ProQuest, Embase, and Magiran. Magiran is a Persian-language database indexing Iran's scientific journals. Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) terms and free-text keywords, along with their Persian equivalents for the Magiran database, were used in combination. Key search terms included "delay", "missed", "error", "delays", "delaying", "delayed", "errors", "late", "poor", "diagnosis*", "detection", "breast neoplasm*", "breast cancer", "breast tumor", "breast carcinoma", "breast malignancy", "breast cancer diagnosis", and "breast cancer delay". Search strategy for PubMed is shown in Table 1. To ensure a thorough and systematic search, we consulted with a librarian expert in literature searches.

Since the preliminary review revealed that many primary studies did not explicitly mention the term "LMICs" or did not adhere to the precise World Bank classification when categorizing the study location, the initial search strategy was formulated regardless of the research location to ensure the inclusion of all relevant studies. After finalizing the search syntax, two authors independently conducted database searches.

In selection of literature step, following the retrieval of search results, duplicate entries were removed. Subsequently, the titles and abstracts of the remaining articles were screened for relevance to the research question of this scoping review. Then, the

full texts of potentially eligible articles were assessed against pre-defined inclusion and exclusion criteria, and irrelevant studies were excluded. Inclusion criteria encompassed studies with various designs (quantitative, qualitative, mixed methods, and reviews) conducted in LMICs based on the precise World Bank classification and published in Persian and English between January 2000 and September 2024. Exclusion criteria included studies with findings reported for men and documents such as abstracts, theses, or dissertations.

In charting the data step, the data extracted from the selected articles were systematically recorded using a data extraction form, which included the authors' names, the country of the study, the age of the participants, study design, the number of samples, data gathering tools, and the reasons for delays in breast cancer diagnosis (Tables 2 and 3).

In reporting the findings step, to synthesize the extracted data, we found that a significant portion of the factors influencing delays in breast cancer diagnosis aligned with the Penchansky framework, as revised by Levesque and Saurman.¹⁷ This framework evaluates dimensions related to access to care, including "accessibility," "availability," "acceptability," "affordability," "accommodation," and "awareness." This comprehensive framework facilitated an effective presentation of the extracted data and provided a clearer understanding of the factors contributing to delays in breast cancer diagnosis. Additionally, the remaining data from our study were categorized into three more dimensions: "misdiagnosis," "competitive priorities," and "personal factors."

Table 1: Search Strategy for PubMed Database
Syntax

((("delay"[Title/Abstract] OR "missed"[Title/Abstract] OR "error"[Title/Abstract] OR "delays"[Title/Abstract] OR "delaying"[Title/Abstract] OR "delayed"[Title/Abstract] OR "errors"[Title/Abstract] OR "late"[Title/Abstract] OR "poor"[Title/Abstract])) AND ((diagnosis*"[Title/Abstract] OR "detection"[Title/Abstract]) AND ((breast neoplasm*"[Title/Abstract] OR "breast cancer"[Title/Abstract] OR "breast tumor"[Title/Abstract] OR "breast carcinoma"[Title/Abstract] OR "breast malignancy"[Title/Abstract]) AND ((breast cancer diagnosis"[Title/Abstract] OR "breast cancer delay"[Title/Abstract])))

Table 2: Characteristics of the studies included in this scoping review

| Author, year | Country | Age, (year) (Mean±SD/ range/ median) | Study Design | Number of samples | Data gathering tools | Reasons for delay in diagnosis |
|---|-------------------|---|--------------------------|-------------------|--|---|
| Abdel-Fattah M, et al, 2000 ²⁴ | Egypt | Less than 50 and greater than 50 | Quantitative study | 565 women | Questionnaire | Not performing breast self-examination |
| Parsa P, et al, 2006 ²⁰ | Iran and Malaysia | Not mentioned | A mini literature review | 50 articles | Not mentioned | Knowledge factors, psychosocial factors, socio-demographic factors |
| Ermiah E, et al, 2012 ²⁵ | Libya | 22-75 | Quantitative study | 200 women | Questionnaire | Dismissing symptoms; using alternative therapies; fear/shame; misinterpreting benign diagnoses; atypical breast cancer presentation (without a lump); lack of self-examination; older age; and illiteracy |
| Sharma K, et al, 2012 ¹ | USA* | Not mentioned | A systematic review | 13 articles | Data extraction form | Personal, sociocultural, economic |
| Shieh S-H, et al, 2014 ²⁷ | Taiwan | 48.7±10.5 | Quantitative study | 450 women | Structured questionnaire | Number of hospitals visited before diagnosis, level of medical service at first visit. |
| Dickens C, et al, 2018 ⁴³ | South African | 55.4±14.3 | Quantitative study | 1071 women | Clinical records, and census-derived socio-economic indicators | Long travel distances to healthcare facilities. |
| Poum A, et al, 2014 ²⁶ | Thailand | Mean: 50.0±11.0 Range: 25–83 | Quantitative study | 180 women | Questionnaire | Family income, smoking, previous breast symptoms, self-treatment, longer travel time to the hospital, older age, lower education level, and a greater number of pre-diagnosis consultations with a surgeon. |
| Pace LE, et al, 2015 ² | Rwanda | Under 40, 40 to 49, 50 to 59, and 60 and older. | Quantitative study | 144 women | Structured questionnaire | Low education levels, initial consultation with traditional healers, multiple (≥ 5) health center visits before diagnosis, and long distances to hospitals. |
| Thakur N, et al, 2015 ²⁸ | India | 45.9±9.6 | Quantitative study | 120 women | Previous information of patients | Rural residence, low socioeconomic status, and advanced age. |
| Dianatinasab M, et al, 2016 ²¹ | Iran | 47.7±10.6 | Quantitative study | 505 women | Medical records | Illiteracy, rural residence, single marital status, lobular or medullary cancer type, lack of breast self-examination, non-mass clinical symptoms, and general practitioner awareness. |

| Author, year | Country | Age, (year) (Mean±SD/ range/ median) | Study Design | Number of samples | Data gathering tools | Reasons for delay in diagnosis |
|---|--------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|---|---|
| Maghous A, et al, 2019 ⁶² | Morocco | 48.3±10.4 | Quantitative study | 137 women | Structured questionnaire | Misattributing symptoms, reliance on traditional medicine, false reassurance from negative physical exams, rural residence (limiting access to care), lack of breast self-examination, and family history. |
| Moodle J, et al, 2016 ⁵⁷ | South Africa | 30–74 | Qualitative study | 20 women | Interview | Lack of awareness about symptoms and bodily changes. |
| Brinton L, et al, 2017 ⁵² | Ghana, West Africa | Median: 49 | Quantitative study | 1184 women | Structured questionnaire | Low education, use of traditional medicine, seeking non-physician help, marital status(divorced/separated/widowed), and age more than 50 |
| Mirfarhadi N, et al, 2017 ²² | Iran | 49.8±10.2 | Quantitative study | 232 women | Structured questionnaire | Insurance coverage, history of mammography (yes/no), knowledge of breast cancer signs, attitudes toward disease, familiarity with breast self-examination. |
| Akuoko CP, et al, 2012 ⁷¹ | Sub-Saharan Africa | Not mentioned | Systematic Review | 17 studies | National Institute of Health Quality Assessment Tool, and quality appraisal checklist | Limited awareness and knowledge; low participation in recommended screening and examination practices; issues related to healthcare facilities and the services they provide; poor health-seeking behavior; and socio-demographic and socio-cultural factors. |
| Sathwara JA, et al, 2017 ³⁹ | India | Median: 49.0 Range: 21-89 | Quantitative study | 1210 patients | Hospital electronic medical records system | Socio-demographic inequalities, Rural residence, Illiteracy |
| Rivera-Franco MM, et al, 2018 ¹⁹ | Mexico | Not mentioned | Narrative Review | Not mentioned | Not mentioned | Limited early detection programs and inadequate diagnostic/treatment facilities. |
| Scheel JR, et al, 2018 ³⁰ | Sub-Saharan Africa | Not mentioned | Collection on Global | Not mentioned | Global report | Cost, rural residence, transportation difficulties, social stigma, loss of social support, low provider knowledge, and complex referral systems. |

| Author, year | Country | Age, (year) (Mean±SD/ range/ median) | Study Design | Number of samples | Data gathering tools | Reasons for delay in diagnosis |
|---|--------------------|---|--------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| Martei YM, et al, 2018 ⁶³ | Ghana | Range:25-85, Mean: 47.12±3.86 Median: 48 | Qualitative study | 31 women | Interview | Fear of mastectomy due to self and social stigma, the influence of religious institutions (like the church) on perceptions of stigma, limited breast cancer knowledge, the misconception that painless breast lumps are not a concern, and financial burdens. |
| McKenzie F, et al, 2018 ⁵⁸ | Sub-Saharan Africa | 50.0±14.0 | Quantitative study | 1091 women | Questionnaire | Being Black, younger age, recent pregnancy, low education level, lower socioeconomic status, working in unskilled employment, and poor breast cancer awareness. |
| Sharp JW, et al, 2019 ⁴⁰ | Sub-Saharan Africa | 25-39, 40-49, and 50-74 | Quantitative study | 401 women | Structured questionnaire | Lack of knowledge, economic barriers, fear, poor social support, rural residence, and infrequent breast self-examination. |
| Rayne S, et al, 2019 ³¹ | South African | Less than 45, 45 or older | Quantitative study | 252 women | Questionnaire | Transport issues, low education, and work constraints. |
| Soliman AA, et al, 2019 ⁴¹ | Morocco | Not mentioned | Qualitative study | 25 women | Interview | Structural barriers, socio-cultural barriers |
| Gakunga R, et al, 2019 ⁵³ | Kenya | 30-60 | Qualitative study | Four focus group discussions | Interview | Knowledge deficits, health system delays, limited access to information, negative healthcare experiences, cost of care, and stigma. |
| Gebremariam A, et al, 2019 ⁵⁴ | Ethiopia | Less than 40, 40 to 50, and greater than 50 | Qualitative study | 23 People | Interview | Lack of awareness and misperceptions about the disease, self-treatment, fear, competing priorities, financial insecurity, and health system barriers. |
| Gebremariam A, et al, 2019 ⁵¹ | Ethiopia | 44.4±12.2 | Quantitative study | 441 women | Questionnaire | Illiteracy, older age (≥ 60 years), prior use of traditional medicine, and multiple healthcare visits (≥ 4) before diagnosis confirmation. |
| Bonsu AB and Ncama BP, 2019 ⁶⁴ | Ghana | 32-70 | Qualitative study | 11 women | Interview | Symptom experience, breast cancer knowledge, social networks, coping mechanisms, and healthcare-seeking intentions. |

| Author, year | Country | Age, (year) (Mean±SD/ range/ median) | Study Design | Number of samples | Data gathering tools | Reasons for delay in diagnosis |
|--|-----------|--------------------------------------|--------------------|---|---|---|
| Agbokey F, et al, 2019 ⁴⁹ | Ghana | 18-30, 31-60, and greater than 60 | Qualitative study | 35 Participants: Patients, Care-givers, Health Worker, Herbalists/Traditional Healers | Interview | Limited patient knowledge, lack of screening, symptom misinterpretation, cultural influences, fear of mastectomy, physician delays, healthcare provider negligence, and spousal influence on diagnosis and treatment decisions. |
| Gulzar F, et al, 2019 ⁵⁹ | Pakistan | Mean: 44.1±9.9 Range: 24–75 | Quantitative study | 125 women | Questionnaire | Lack of awareness, Financial barriers, Educational factors, Social status. |
| Yang K, et al, 2019 ⁵⁵ | Tanzania | 51.6±12.9 | Quantitative study | 152 women | Questionnaire | Financial constraints, time constraints, lack of breast examination history, and underestimating the likelihood of death from breast cancer. |
| Baig M, et al, 2019 ⁴² | Pakistan | 25-64 | Quantitative study | 89 women | Questionnaire | Lack of knowledge, limited access to healthcare services, cultural and religious factors such as purdah, and fear of a cancer diagnosis. |
| Abo Al-Shiekh SS, et al, 2019 ⁴ | Palestine | 51.2±11.9 | Mixed method | 122 women | Questionnaire and interview | Age, income, education level, mammography findings, and ultrasound findings. |
| Foroozani E, et al, 2020 ³ | Iran | 43.7±8.2 | Quantitative study | 725 women | Medical records and structured interviews | Older age, low income, lack of insurance, rural residence, single marital status, menopausal status, history of benign breast disease, lack of breast self-examination awareness, and chronic disease. |
| Shamsi U, et al, 2020 ³² | Pakistan | 48.0±12.3 | Quantitative study | 327 women | Questionnaire and medical records | Lack of awareness, fear, service delays, and reliance on traditional treatments. |
| Tesfaw A, et al, 2020 ⁴⁴ | Ethiopia | 48.1 (SD Not reported) | Quantitative study | 371 women | Questionnaire | Rural residence, illiteracy, painless wound, distance ≥ 5 km, absence of axillary lump/swelling, and no prior breast problems |

| Author, year | Country | Age, (year) (Mean±SD/ range/ median) | Study Design | Number of samples | Data gathering tools | Reasons for delay in diagnosis |
|---|---------------------|--|--------------------|-------------------|--|--|
| Scheel JR, et al, 2020 ¹⁸ | Uganda | Not mentioned | Mixed method | 95 women | Questionnaire and interview | Low symptom awareness, underuse of clinical breast exams, centralized diagnostic testing (radiology/pathology), preference for excisional over needle biopsies, workforce shortages, and poor referral system knowledge. |
| Getachew S, et al, 2020 ⁴³ | Ethiopia | Less than 30, 31-40, 41-50, 51-60, and greater than 61 | Qualitative study | 25 women | Interview | Limited breast cancer knowledge, reliance on traditional medicine/religious practices, insufficient social/financial support, long travel distances to facilities, high diagnostic costs, lengthy wait times, and lack of local screening/diagnostic services. |
| Shakor JK and Mohammed AK, 2020 ⁷⁰ | Iraq | Less than 30, 30-39, and greater than 40 Median: 30 | Quantitative study | 323 women | Questionnaire | Marital status, economic status, chronic diseases, low health motivation. |
| Gbenonsi G, et al, 2021 ⁵ | Sub-Saharan Africa | Not mentioned | Systematic review | 28 Articles | Data extraction form | Health systems components (service delivery, workforce, information systems, financing, medication/technology access, and governance) |
| Hassen AM, et al, 2021 ³³ | Ethiopia | 44.1±11.8 | Quantitative study | 204 women | Questionnaire | Underestimation of disease severity; low breast cancer awareness; consulting traditional healers; age ≥ 40 ; rural residency; illiteracy; single marital status; multiple prior consultations; financial constraints; belief in spontaneous remission; and absence of palpable axillary mass. |
| Nguyen SM, et al, 2021 ³⁴ | North Vietnam | 49.5±10.7 | Quantitative study | 462 women | Structured questionnaire, Research Electronic Data Capture (REDCap) mobile application, and medical record | Financial and physical limitations, psychological factors, lack of knowledge, and rural residence. |
| Togawa K, et al, 2021 ⁴⁵ | Sub-Saharan African | 50.3±13.7 | Quantitative study | 1541 women | Medical record | Limited access to care due to long distances and rural residence. |

| Author, year | Country | Age, (year) (Mean±SD/ range/ median) | Study Design | Number of samples | Data gathering tools | Reasons for delay in diagnosis |
|--|--------------------|--|--------------------|-------------------|---|--|
| Tesfaw A, et al, 2021 ³⁵ | Ethiopia | 42.7±13.4 | Quantitative study | 398 women | Medical record | Rural residence, presence of a breast lump, and pre-existing comorbidities. |
| Agodirin O, et al, 2021 ⁶⁵ | Nigeria | Less than 40, 41-60, greater than 60 | Quantitative study | 405 Women | Questionnaire and medical record | Visiting alternative care, low acknowledge, concerns for hospital care |
| Foerster M, et al, 2021 ⁶⁰ | Sub-Saharan Africa | 50.1±13.9 | Quantitative study | 1429 women | m-health mobile application and clinical record | Misattributing symptoms, illiteracy, reliance on traditional medicine, HIV infection, and initial consultation with informal healthcare providers. |
| Farooqi S, et al, 2021 ⁶⁶ | Pakistan | 46.4±8.8 | Qualitative study | 15 women | Interview | Personal/psychological factors, socio-cultural factors, healthcare system factors. |
| Hussain M, et al, 2021 ⁶⁷ | Pakistan | 47±12 | Quantitative study | 334 women | Questionnaire and medical record | Self-neglect, multiple opinions, inconsistent diagnosis. |
| Ismail HM, et al, 2021 ⁶⁸ | Egypt | less than 35, 35-44, 45-54, 55-64, greater than 65 | Quantitative study | 400 women | Medical records and questionnaire | Biological factors (molecular subtype), behavioral factors (patient delay), and healthcare system factors (provider delay) |
| Sakafu LL, et al, 2022 ³⁶ | Sub-Saharan Africa | 50 (SD, Not reported) Range: 40–65 | Qualitative study | 12 women | Interview | Lack of cancer knowledge, financial hardship, difficulties navigating the healthcare system, reliance on traditional or alternative therapies, fear and stigma surrounding cancer, and influences from family and friends. |
| Rajagopal S, et al, 2022 ⁴⁸ | India | 37-83 | Qualitative study | 25 women | Interview | Lack of awareness, family obligations, financial constraints, limited access to diagnostics and female doctors, shame, and misdiagnosis. |
| Saeedi H, et al, 2022 ²³ | Iran | Not mentioned | Qualitative study | 21 Women | Interview | Gender disparities in diagnosis, family history of non-informative illness, limited access to care, stigma, minimal social support, and lack of body awareness. |
| Maggi RM, et al, 2022 ⁵⁰ | India | 18-30, 30-50, and greater than 50 | Qualitative study | 29 women, 17 men | Interview | Awareness, access, and stigma in breast cancer care. |
| Hewage S, et al, 2022 ³⁷ | Sri Lanka | Mean: 55.5±10.7 Range: 28-79 | Quantitative study | 800 Women | Questionnaire and medical records | Poverty, lack of knowledge, and healthcare system inefficiencies. |

| Author, year | Country | Age, (year) (Mean±SD/ range/ median) | Study Design | Number of samples | Data gathering tools | Reasons for delay in diagnosis |
|--|--------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|---|--|
| Afaya A, et al, 2022 ¹¹ | South Korea | Not mentioned | Mixed-methods systematic review | 26 studies | Data extraction form | Barriers to service delivery, Financial barriers, Geographic barriers, Structural barriers, Cultural barriers. |
| Al-Shiekh SSA, et al, 2022 ⁶² | Palestinian | Mean: 51.0±11.9 Range: 23–72 | Quantitative study | 122 women | Questionnaire | Lack of awareness about symptoms. |
| Balhi S, et al, 2023 ⁶⁹ | Tunisia | 50.1± 10.9 | Quantitative study | 146 patients | Questionnaire | Lack of knowledge |
| Darré T, et al, 2023 ⁴⁶ | Sub-Saharan Africa | 38.6±12.5 | Quantitative study | 62 Women | Interview during the consultation. Registers of the Laboratory of Pathological Anatomy and Cytology | Fear of diagnosis, extended diagnostic delays, infrequent breast self-exams, distance from healthcare facilities, the type of initial consultation, and waiting times. |
| Mapanga W, et al, 2023 ⁴⁷ | South Africa | 55.6±14.3 | Quantitative study | 2058 women | Questionnaire and medical records | Health system level, socio-economic level, individual level |
| Daniel O, et al, 2023 ¹³ | Kenya | Median: 50 Range: 25–88 | Mixed-methods | 378 women | Questionnaire, Medical Records, Interview | Socio-economic factors and the healthcare system |
| Hanafi I, et al, 2023 ⁵⁶ | Syrian | 25-42, 43-50, 51-57, and 58-87 | Quantitative study | 519 women | Archived patient records, and structured personal interviews | Disruption and destruction of healthcare infrastructure caused by war, a general lack of health knowledge, non-specific or subtle symptoms that are easily overlooked, and cultural or personal shyness that prevents individuals from seeking timely medical attention. |

*Although the authors of this systematic review are affiliated with institutions in the United States, the primary studies included in their analysis originate from low- and middle-income countries such as Egypt, India, Pakistan, Ghana, Nigeria, Iran, Tunisia, Malaysia, Colombia, and Thailand. Therefore, this review was included in the present search.

RESULTS

In the initial search, 5,313 records were identified across multiple databases. After removing 1,036 duplicates, 4,277 studies remained for screening based on the title, abstract, and country of origin. During the screening phase, 4,217 studies were excluded for the following reasons: 850 studies were conducted in HICs; 225 were neither focused

on the female population nor conducted in human populations; 802 represented irrelevant study types, such as letters to the editor, conference abstracts, theses, case reports or case series, and clinical trials that did not provide relevant data; 1,703 lacked information directly related to the reasons for delayed breast cancer diagnosis; and 637 were published outside the time frame of interest (2000–2024).

Table 3: Reasons for diagnostic delay in breast cancer patients

| Dimension | Reason |
|------------------------|---|
| Accessibility | Distance of residence from medical services, lack of means of transportation, high cost of access to service provider centers ^{2, 3, 21, 26, 28-31, 33-35, 37-47} |
| Availability | Lack of diagnostic facilities, such as mammography and ultrasound ^{5, 19, 43, 48} |
| Acceptability | Preference for consultation with a female physician ^{5, 49} Negative perceptions and reluctance to receive services from government hospitals ^{49, 50} Lack of empathy from service provider ^{2, 51} Preferring traditional medicine, prayer and spiritual healing over conventional medicine ^{29, 41, 50-54} Negative personal experiences from previous visits ^{55, 56} Disappointment with treatment effectiveness ⁴² |
| Affordability | The absence of health insurance in reducing diagnostic costs ^{3-5, 30, 40} Financial and economic challenges ^{1-5, 11, 13, 31, 36, 38, 40, 43, 45-47, 49, 51, 53-55, 57-62} |
| Accommodation | Non-standard initial consultations ^{5, 42} Poor planning and long wait times for initial diagnostic procedures ^{5, 46, 54} |
| Awareness | Lack of awareness about breast cancer symptoms, self-exams, and screening ^{3, 4, 12, 13, 18, 20-25, 29, 32-40, 42-44, 46-49, 51-60, 62-69} |
| Misdiagnosis | Providers' carelessness and lack of awareness ^{13, 18, 30, 32, 48-51, 60, 61, 63, 64, 66, 67} |
| Competitive priorities | Major life events such as death, birth, official ceremonies, visits, illness, and war ^{56, 64} Women's roles and responsibilities ^{23, 48, 51, 54, 55, 64} |
| Personal factors | Education Level ^{2, 13, 21, 25, 26, 31, 33, 37, 39, 44, 47, 51, 52, 58, 60, 61} Marital status ^{3, 21, 33, 47, 52, 70} Age ^{3, 4, 23, 25, 26, 28, 33, 51, 52, 54, 58} Ethnicity and race ⁵⁸ Personal or family history of breast cancer ^{3, 29} No prior breast issues ⁴⁴ Pregnancy ⁵⁸ Chronic diseases ^{3, 35, 47, 60, 70} Smoking and alcohol consumption ^{26, 47} |

The remaining 60 studies underwent full-text assessment. All met the inclusion criteria and were included in the synthesis. Consistent with the PRISMA Extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR) guidelines, this review did not assess or exclude studies based on methodological quality, as the primary aim of scoping reviews is to map the breadth and nature of existing evidence rather than to evaluate its quality. Therefore, all 60 studies were retained and synthesized narratively (Figure 1).

A summary of the main characteristics of the included studies is displayed in Table 2. Out of 60 reviewed studies, 37 were quantitative, 13 were qualitative, seven studies utilized a review methodology, and three studies were conducted using a mixed-method design.^{1-5, 11-13, 18-60, 62-70} Two review studies were conducted on primary studies in Africa.^{5, 12} The third study was conducted in Mexico.¹⁹ The fourth review study, conducted in America, examined primary case series,

cross-sectional, or case-control studies from developing countries.¹ The review in South Korea was the fifth and was based on initial studies conducted among women in LMICs in Asia.¹¹ The sixth study was a mini literature review jointly conducted between Iran and Malaysia, examining barriers to breast cancer screening among Asian women.²⁰

Iran's contribution to this search was four studies.^{3, 21-23} In the review studies conducted, South Africa,^{5, 12} ranked first with two studies. The highest number of studies included in the review search was related to a study in Iran and Malaysia, which examined 50 studies.²⁰ The period of delay was reported in the range of 28 days to twenty-four months.^{3, 22, 24-37}

The sample size of studies was in the range of 62 to 2058 people for quantitative and mixed studies;^{2-4, 13, 18, 21, 22, 24-29, 31-35, 37-40, 42, 44-47, 51, 52, 55, 56, 58-60, 62, 65, 67-70} and for qualitative studies, it was reported in the range of 11 to 35 people.^{23, 36, 41, 43, 48-50, 53, 54, 57, 63, 64, 66}




Figure 1: PRISMA diagram flow for studies selection. *No studies were excluded at the full-text stage because this scoping review aimed to map all available evidence on diagnostic delay in Low- and Middle-Income Countries, regardless of methodological quality. All 60 retrieved articles met the inclusion criteria.

Synthesis of the findings from the included studies indicated that the main reasons for diagnostic delay in breast cancer patients involved problems in various aspects of access, misdiagnosis, competitive priorities, and personal factors.

1. Problems with Different Aspects of Access

1.a. Accessibility

Accessibility pertains to the relationships between the site of service delivery and the location of the users, factoring in elements such as resources, costs of transportation, time, and distance. In the studies included in this review, the distance of the patient's residence from the place of supply of medical services, the lack of means of transportation, and the high cost of access to service provider centers were identified as one of the influential

factors in the diagnostic delay of cancer patients.^{2, 3, 21, 26, 28-31, 33-35, 37-47}

1.b. Availability

Availability is the term used to describe the relationships between the quantity and variety of services and resources at hand, concerning the quantity and variety of the needs of the customers. In many studies regarding this aspect, it has been pointed out that there is a lack of diagnostic facilities such as mammography and ultrasound.^{5, 19, 43, 48}

1.c. Acceptability

Acceptability is defined as the relationship between the attitudes of customers and service providers towards each other, specifically in terms of their acceptable personal and practical attributes as compared

to their actual and current characteristics. Numerous studies included in this scoping review have highlighted various aspects of acceptability. These include the preference of patients to consult with a female doctor,^{5, 49} the negative perception and reluctance of patients towards receiving services from government hospitals,^{49, 50} patients' dissatisfaction with the lack of empathy from service providers,^{2, 51} the preference for traditional medicine, prayer, and prayer therapy over conventional medicine,^{29, 41, 50-54} negative personal experiences with treatment and diagnostic centers from previous visits,^{55, 56} and disappointment with the effectiveness of the treatment.⁴²

1.d. Affordability

Affordability is characterized as the relationship between the cost of services and the insurance support provided by service providers, in comparison to the customers' income and their financial ability to pay. The studies underscore the impact of the absence of health insurance in mitigating diagnostic costs,^{3-5, 30, 40} along with the numerous financial and economic difficulties encountered by patients.^{1-5, 11, 13, 31, 36, 38, 40, 43, 45-47, 49, 51, 53-55, 57-62} These factors have significantly influenced this area and have contributed to delays in the timely diagnosis of patients.

1.e. Accommodation

Accommodation is characterized as the relationships between the organization of resources, the customers' ability to adjust to these resources, and their perception of the suitability of these resources. The studies reviewed in this area indicate that non-standard initial patient visits,^{5, 42} along with inappropriate scheduling and extended waiting times for patients to undergo primary diagnostic procedures,^{5, 46, 54} have contributed to delays in diagnosis.

1.f. Awareness

Awareness refers to the use of effective information and communication strategies

by a health system to engage relevant users, including clinicians, patients, and the public, while accounting for their sociocultural context and health literacy. In the studies included in this review, inadequate information and communication strategies were associated with low patient awareness of both specific and nonspecific breast cancer symptoms, infrequent or absent breast self-examination, and non-adherence to recommended annual screening tests, contributing to delays in diagnosis.^{3, 4, 12, 13, 18, 20-25, 29, 32-40, 42-44, 46-49, 51-60, 62-69}

2. Misdiagnosis

Misdiagnosis is the incorrect conclusion about the cause of the disease or the problem and also the unnecessary service. In the studies reviewed in this review, carelessness and little knowledge of the service providers in the assessment and correct diagnosis of patients have been identified as reasons for delay in timely diagnosis.^{13, 18, 30, 32, 48-51, 60, 63, 64, 66, 67}

3. Competitive Priorities

Competing priorities are related to issues and subjects that, despite them, a person has paid less attention to himself and has not prioritized his health. The studies reviewed in this regard point to the occurrence of important events in life such as illness, death of acquaintances, birth of a baby, visits, attendance at official ceremonies, and the occurrence of war.^{56, 64} as well as the performance of individual roles and responsibilities of women in the family and society have led to failure in the follow-up of symptoms and timely diagnosis.^{23, 48, 51, 54, 55, 64}

4. Personal Factors

In the studies included in this scoping review, several individual characteristics in patients were related to delayed diagnosis. Most of these features include education level,^{2, 13, 21, 25, 26, 31, 33, 37, 39, 44, 47, 51, 52, 58, 60, 61} age,^{3, 4, 23, 25, 26, 28, 33, 51, 52, 54, 58} ethnicity and race,⁵⁸ existence of a personal and family history of breast cancer,^{3, 29} marital status,^{3, 21, 33, 47, 52, 70} lack of a history of breast problems,⁴⁴

recent pregnancy,⁵⁸ suffering from chronic diseases,^{3, 35, 47, 60, 70} and smoking and alcohol consumption.^{26, 47}

DISCUSSION

This scoping review examined the causes of delayed breast cancer diagnosis in LMICs. A qualitative synthesis of 60 studies identified four key areas contributing in delayed breast cancer diagnosis including: access barriers (encompassing accessibility, availability, acceptability, affordability, accommodation, and awareness), misdiagnosis, competitive priorities, and personal factors.

This scoping review confirms that in LMICs, limited accessibility, defined as the mismatch between the location of service delivery and users' residences, compounded by distance, transportation costs, time, and resource constraints, is a primary driver of delayed breast cancer diagnosis. In contrast, HICs generally operate within systems where diagnostic infrastructure is fundamentally available at the system level, and delays are more often shaped by psychosocial, behavioral, and contextual factors at the individual level, such as atypical symptoms and reluctance to disclose symptoms, rather than by a lack of diagnostic services.⁷¹ Similarly, research identified that among Black women in developed countries, particularly the US, delays arise from a complex interplay of fear, stigma, embarrassment in discussing symptoms with clinicians, and concerns about cancer treatment or partner abandonment. Notably, older studies included in their review also reported structural access barriers (e.g., lack of insurance or healthcare inaccessibility); however, these occur within systems where diagnostic capacity fundamentally exists.¹⁰ Unlike the infrastructural challenges faced in LMICs, in European HICs, women tend to prioritize rapid result delivery and efficient service pathways, reflecting an expectation of a responsive healthcare system with established baseline access.⁷² Moreover, even in upper-middle-income Eastern

European countries, necessary diagnostic technologies like digital mammography and biomarker testing are widely available despite implementation gaps.⁷³ Therefore, while LMICs struggle with fundamental system absence and infrastructural deficits, HICs focus more on optimizing patient pathways and addressing psychosocial barriers. Hence, foundational investments in infrastructure and service integration remain critical for LMICs to enable timely breast cancer diagnosis.

A key finding of this study is the critical mismatch between the availability of breast cancer screening and diagnostic services, defined as the alignment between the quantity and variety of existing resources and the actual needs of at-risk populations, and the scale of demand in LMICs. In these settings, health systems frequently lack even basic diagnostic and treatment capacities, such as surgery, radiotherapy, and systemic therapy, resulting in late-stage presentation and poor survival outcomes.⁷⁴ In contrast, HICs operate within systems where core diagnostic services are sufficiently available to meet population needs. This is evident in women's expressed preferences for rapid result delivery and efficient diagnostic pathways, expectations that inherently presume baseline service availability.⁷² Thus, while LMICs face a fundamental deficit in service availability, HICs focus on optimizing the responsiveness and efficiency of an already functional system.

A notable finding of this study reveals that the perceived unacceptability of breast cancer services significantly reduces timely diagnosis. This phenomenon, observed in both HICs and LMICs, is frequently associated with patient-provider relational mismatches, including distrust, cultural incongruence, and concerns about stigma or judgment. In HICs, qualitative evidence highlights how Black women's reluctance to disclose symptoms stems from embarrassment, fear of being dismissed, or perceived lack of cultural competence among providers.¹⁰ While some older studies suggested a potential role for fatalism or religiosity in shaping care-seeking

behaviors, the evidence remains inconclusive.¹⁰ In LMICs, these acceptability challenges are compounded by gender norms, modesty concerns, and limited provider-patient communication, which further deter women from engaging with diagnostic services.⁷⁵ Ultimately, the current review underscores the need for culturally and contextually tailored interventions that enhance the acceptability of breast cancer care across diverse settings.

Another significant finding of the present study underscores financial constraints as a major impediment to the timely diagnosis of breast cancer in LMICs. This contrasts with HICs, where financial barriers, though present among marginalized populations such as African American and Hispanic women, are not systemic but rather intersect with psychosocial and structural inequities.^{9, 10} Critically, a recent global systematic review and meta-analysis of over 2.4 million women across 81 countries demonstrated that the proportion of metastatic breast cancer at diagnosis was significantly higher in LMICs and among populations with lower socioeconomic status, directly linking national income level and resource availability to early detection capacity.⁷ These disparities reflect not only limited access to screening but also fragmented diagnostic pathways and inadequate treatment infrastructure in resource-constrained settings.⁷⁴ Therefore, context-specific strategies, such as subsidized transportation, local health insurance schemes, and point-of-care financial support, may be essential to mitigate the impact of economic barriers on timely diagnosis in LMICs.

Furthermore, this study revealed deficits in system accommodation, referring to the misalignment between healthcare structures and patients' practical, temporal, and communicative needs. This finding resonates with evidence from high-income settings, where women express strong preferences for rapid diagnostic pathways, timely result delivery, and clear communication, viewing these as essential components of trustworthy and responsive care.⁷² In contrast, in LMICs,

such accommodation is often absent: fragile health systems struggle to provide even basic diagnostic continuity, leading to prolonged delays and late-stage presentation.^{7, 74} The global disparity in stage at diagnosis, markedly worse in resource-constrained settings, reflects not only limited access but also a fundamental lack of system adaptability to patient needs, including wait times, information clarity, and care coordination.

A critical gap in women's awareness of breast cancer symptoms, particularly atypical or non-lump presentations, and limited understanding of personal risk factors significantly contribute to delayed diagnosis, a pattern consistently observed across diverse settings. Scoping and systematic reviews have identified low symptom recognition as a key predictor of prolonged patient intervals in both LMICs and among marginalized populations in high-income contexts, including Black, Hispanic, and Chinese women.^{8, 10, 76} Evidence from the United Kingdom further demonstrates that breast cancer awareness campaigns can effectively increase screening uptake and timely help-seeking behavior, underscoring the global relevance of health literacy as a determinant of early diagnosis.⁷⁷

Misdiagnosis or failure to recognize breast cancer symptoms by frontline health providers emerged as a key contributor to diagnostic delays in the present study, a finding consistent with evidence from resource-constrained settings where healthcare workers often lack specialized training in early cancer detection. In LMICs, fragile health systems frequently operate with limited oncology expertise, resulting in missed or delayed diagnoses, particularly when atypical symptoms are presented.⁷⁴ Even in upper-middle-income regions, disparities in provider knowledge, such as inconsistent use of biomarker testing or staging protocols, highlight the gaps in clinical capacity that compromise diagnostic accuracy.⁷³ These system-level deficiencies underscore improvement in knowledge base and diagnostic competencies of primary care providers.

The current study identified 'competing priorities' as a significant factor contributing to diagnostic delays, a finding supported by other review studies. For example, an integrated literature review examining delays in breast cancer presentation, diagnosis, and treatment among Chinese women found that competing priorities, such as household tasks, employment, and childcare, contributed to delays in care-seeking.⁷⁶ Similarly, another review highlighted that those social priorities, such as prioritizing education, also influenced these delays, particularly among educated women. Furthermore, research has indicated that competing priorities and concerns about partner abandonment can hinder early presentation and diagnosis.¹⁰ A mixed-methods systematic review also identified caregiving responsibilities for unwell or frail spouses as a significant competing priority affecting symptom appraisal and help-seeking among older adults with suspected cancer.⁷⁸ These findings suggest that policy interventions should incorporate a comprehensive range of support services to mitigate the impact of these competing priorities on timely diagnosis and encourage patients to pursue prompt follow-up and diagnosis.

The final finding of the present study is the 'personal factors' variable, which plays a significant role in delayed breast cancer diagnosis. The importance of this finding is underscored by its corroboration in other review studies, which have highlighted patient-related variables such as migrant status, ethnicity, education, and demographics as influential factors in the diagnostic process.⁸

⁷⁹ Given that this result appears to be more pronounced in LMICs, it is suggested that future research should focus on interventions which aim at addressing and mitigating cultural and socioeconomic disparities to facilitate earlier patient diagnosis.

This scoping review has limitations, including potential language bias due to the focus on English and Persian articles, and instances where necessary data points were not reported in the reviewed studies, leading

to incomplete data extraction. However, its strengths lie in its sensitive search strategy and comprehensive coverage of LMIC studies. Future research should investigate the specific mechanisms through which personal factors influence diagnostic delays and develop culturally sensitive interventions. Additionally, future reviews should consider including studies in a wider range of languages to ensure a more comprehensive understanding of the issue.

CONCLUSION

Delayed breast cancer diagnosis significantly impacts patient recovery and survival, making it crucial to understand the underlying causes. This scoping review identified the key factors contributing to diagnostic delays, categorized into nine areas: accessibility, availability, acceptability, affordability, accommodation, awareness, misdiagnosis, competing priorities, and personal factors. These categories provide a valuable framework for future research and interventions. While this review offers a comprehensive overview of the landscape, further investigation is needed to understand the complex interplay between these factors. Policy recommendations stemming from this research should focus on improving each of the nine identified areas. Future research should also prioritize longitudinal studies to track the impact of interventions and identify best practices for timely breast cancer diagnosis. Furthermore, comparative studies across different regions and healthcare systems could shed light on the most effective strategies for reducing diagnostic delays and improving patient outcomes.

Acknowledgement

We express our sincere gratitude for the support of the Research and Technology Vice-Chancellor of Tehran University of Medical Sciences and the Nursing and Midwifery Research Center of this university, for their cooperation in approving this plan. We are also extremely grateful to Mrs. Sara Lehto for providing us with access to the full text of the articles.

Authors' Contribution

RN, ML, RL, and MJ contributed substantially to the study conception and design. MJ carried out data collection. RN, ML, RL, and MJ conducted data analysis and interpretation. MJ drafted the manuscript, and all authors (RN, ML, RL, and MJ) participated in critically revising it for important intellectual content. All authors approved the final version of the manuscript and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

Funding Source

This article is part of a research project to obtain a PhD in Nursing from Tehran University of Medical Sciences with the code of 40011783001. This project is also funded by the Research and Technology Department and the Nursing and Midwifery Care Research Center of Tehran University of Medical Sciences under the code of 1402-3-160-68524.

Conflict of Interest

None declared.

Declaration on the use of AI

The authors used Google Gemini (web interface, accessed via <https://gemini.google.com/> at time of writing) solely as a language editing assistant to improve the clarity, grammar, and style of certain paragraphs originally written by the authors. The authors take full responsibility for the integrity and accuracy of the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- 1 Sharma K, Costas A, Shulman LN, et al. A systematic review of barriers to breast cancer care in developing countries resulting in delayed patient presentation. *Journal of Oncology*. 2012;2012:121873.
- 2 Pace LE, Mpunga T, Hagekimana V, et al. Delays in breast cancer presentation and diagnosis at two rural cancer referral centers in Rwanda. *The Oncologist*. 2015;20:780-8.
- 3 Foroozani E, Ghiasvand R, Mohammadianpanah M, et al. Determinants of delay in diagnosis and end stage at presentation among breast cancer patients in Iran: a multi-center study. *Scientific Reports*. 2020;10:21477.
- 4 Abo Al-Shiekh SS, Alajerami YS, Etewa BB, et al. System delay in breast cancer diagnosis in Gaza strip, Palestine. *Journal of Oncology*. 2019;2019:5690938.
- 5 Gbenonsi G, Boucham M, Belrhihi Z, et al. Health system factors that influence diagnostic and treatment intervals in women with breast cancer in sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic review. *BMC Public Health*. 2021;21:1325.
- 6 Arnold M, Morgan E, Rumgay H, et al. Current and future burden of breast cancer: Global statistics for 2020 and 2040. *The Breast*. 2022;66:15-23.
- 7 Fuentes JDB, Morgan E, de Luna Aguilar A, et al. Global stage distribution of breast cancer at diagnosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Oncology*. 2024;10:71-8.
- 8 Webber C, Jiang L, Grunfeld E, et al. Identifying predictors of delayed diagnoses in symptomatic breast cancer: a scoping review. *European Journal of Cancer Care*. 2017;26(2).
- 9 Yoda S, Theeke LA. A scoping review of factors contributing to late-stage diagnosis of breast cancer in racial and ethnic minority (African American and Hispanic) women. *Sage Open*. 2022;12(4).
- 10 Jones CE, Maben J, Jack RH, et al. A systematic review of barriers to early presentation and diagnosis with breast cancer among black women. *BMJ Open*. 2014;4:e004076.
- 11 Afaya A, Ramazanu S, Bolarinwa OA, et al. Health system barriers influencing timely breast cancer diagnosis and treatment among women in low and middle-income Asian countries: evidence from a mixed-methods systematic

review. *BMC Health Services Research.* 2022;22:1601.

12 Akuoko CP, Armah E, Sarpong T, et al. Barriers to early presentation and diagnosis of breast cancer among African women living in sub-Saharan Africa. *PLoS One.* 2017;12:e0171024.

13 Daniel O, Ashrafi A, Muthoni MA, et al. Delayed breast cancer presentation, diagnosis, and treatment in Kenya. *Breast Cancer Research and Treatment.* 2023;202:515-27.

14 Peters MD, Godfrey CM, Khalil H, et al. Guidance for conducting systematic scoping reviews. *International Journal of Evidence-Based Healthcare.* 2015;13:141-6.

15 Arksey H, O'Malley L. Scoping studies: towards a methodological framework. *International Journal of Social Research Methodology.* 2005;8:19-32.

16 Tricco AC, Lillie E, Zarin W, et al. PRISMA extension for scoping reviews (PRISMA-ScR): checklist and explanation. *Annals of Internal Medicine.* 2018;169:467-73.

17 Saurman E. Improving access: modifying Penchansky and Thomas's theory of access. *Journal of Health Services Research & Policy.* 2016;21:36-9.

18 Scheel JR, Giglou MJ, Segel S, et al. Breast cancer early detection and diagnostic capacity in Uganda. *Cancer.* 2020;126:2469-80.

19 Rivera-Franco MM, Leon-Rodriguez E. Delays in breast cancer detection and treatment in developing countries. *Breast cancer: Basic and Clinical Research.* 2018;12:1178223417752677.

20 Parsa P, Kandiah M, Abdul Rahman H, et al. Barriers for breast cancer screening among Asian women: a mini literature review. *Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention.* 2006;7:509-14.

21 Dianatinasab M, Fararouei M, Mohammadianpanah M, et al. Impact of social and clinical factors on diagnostic delay of breast cancer: A Cross-sectional Study. *Medicine.* 2016;95:e4704.

22 Mirfarhadi N, Ghanbari A, Khalili M, et al. Predictive factors for diagnosis and treatment delay in Iranian women with breast cancer. *Nursing and Midwifery Studies.* 2017;6:e27452.

23 Saeedi H, Akbari H, Fuladiyan M, et al. Social determinants associated with disease diagnosis in women with breast cancer. *Iranian Journal of Breast Diseases.* 2022;15:45-66. [In Persian]

24 Abdel-Fattah M, Zaki A, Bassili A, et al. Breast self-examination practice and its impact on breast cancer diagnosis in Alexandria, Egypt. *Eastern Mediterranean Health Journal.* 2000;6:34-40.

25 Ermiah E, Abdalla F, Buhmeida A, et al. Diagnosis delay in Libyan female breast cancer. *BMC Research Notes.* 2012;5:452.

26 Poum A, Promthet S, Duffy SW, et al. Factors associated with delayed diagnosis of breast cancer in northeast Thailand. *Journal of Epidemiology.* 2014;24:102-8.

27 Shieh S-H, Hsieh VC-R, Liu S-H, et al. Delayed time from first medical visit to diagnosis for breast cancer patients in Taiwan. *Journal of the Formosan Medical Association.* 2014;113:696-703.

28 Thakur N, Humne A, Godale L. Delay in presentation to the hospital and factors affecting it in breast cancer patients attending tertiary care center in Central India. *Indian Journal of Cancer.* 2015;52:102-5.

29 Maghous A, Rais F, Ahid S, et al. Factors influencing diagnosis delay of advanced breast cancer in Moroccan women. *BMC Cancer.* 2016;16:356.

30 Scheel JR, Anderson S, Foerster M, et al. Factors contributing to late-stage breast cancer presentation in sub-Saharan Africa. *Current Breast Cancer Reports.* 2018;10:142-7.

31 Rayne S, Schnippel K, Kruger D, et al. Delay to diagnosis and breast cancer stage in an urban South African breast clinic. *South African Medical Journal.* 2019;109:159-63.

32 Shamsi U, Khan S, Azam I, et al. Patient

delay in breast cancer diagnosis in two hospitals in Karachi, Pakistan: preventive and life-saving measures needed. *JCO Global Oncology*. 2020;6:873-83.

33 Hassen AM, Hussien FM, Asfaw ZA, et al. Factors associated with delay in breast cancer presentation at the only oncology center in North East Ethiopia: a cross-sectional study. *Journal of Multidisciplinary Healthcare*. 2021;14:681-94.

34 Nguyen SM, Nguyen QT, Nguyen LM, et al. Delay in the diagnosis and treatment of breast cancer in Vietnam. *Cancer Medicine*. 2021;10:7683-91.

35 Tesfaw A, Getachew S, Addissie A, et al. Late-stage diagnosis and associated factors among breast cancer patients in south and southwest Ethiopia: a multicenter study. *Clinical Breast Cancer*. 2021;21:e112-9.

36 Sakafu LL, Philipo GS, Malichewe CV, et al. Delayed diagnostic evaluation of symptomatic breast cancer in sub-Saharan Africa: A qualitative study of Tanzanian women. *PLoS One*. 2022;17:e0275639.

37 Hewage S, Samaraweera S, Joseph N, et al. Presentation, diagnosis and treatment delays in breast cancer care and their associations in Sri Lanka, a low-resourced country. *Clinical Oncology*. 2022;34:598-607.

38 Dickens C, Joffe M, Jacobson J, et al. Stage at breast cancer diagnosis and distance from diagnostic hospital in a periurban setting: a South African public hospital case series of over 1,000 women. *International Journal of Cancer*. 2014;135:2173-82.

39 Sathwara JA, Balasubramaniam G, Bobdey SC, et al. Sociodemographic factors and late-stage diagnosis of breast cancer in India: A hospital-based study. *Indian Journal of Medical and Paediatric Oncology*. 2017;38:277-81.

40 Sharp JW, Hippe DS, Nakigudde G, et al. Modifiable patient-related barriers and their association with breast cancer detection practices among Ugandan women without a diagnosis of breast cancer. *PLoS One*. 2019;14:e0217938.

41 Soliman AA, Khouchani M, Renne EP. Sociocultural barriers related to late-stage presentation of breast cancer in Morocco. *Journal of Cancer Education*. 2019;34:735-42.

42 Baig M, Sohail I, Altaf HN, et al. Factors influencing delayed presentation of breast cancer at a tertiary care hospital in Pakistan. *Cancer Reports*. 2019;2:e1141.

43 Getachew S, Tesfaw A, Kaba M, et al. Perceived barriers to early diagnosis of breast Cancer in south and southwestern Ethiopia: a qualitative study. *BMC Women's Health*. 2020;20:38.

44 Tesfaw A, Demis S, Munye T, et al. Patient delay and contributing factors among breast cancer patients at two cancer referral centres in Ethiopia: a cross-sectional study. *Journal of Multidisciplinary Healthcare*. 2020;13:1391-401.

45 Togawa K, Anderson BO, Foerster M, et al. Geospatial barriers to healthcare access for breast cancer diagnosis in sub-Saharan African settings: The African Breast Cancer-Disparities in Outcomes Cohort Study. *International Journal of Cancer*. 2021;148:2212-26.

46 Darré T, Tchandikou L, Simgban P, et al. Factors associated with late diagnosis of breast cancer in women in Togo, Sub-Saharan Africa. *BMC Women's Health*. 2023;23:106.

47 Mapanga W, Norris SA, Craig A, et al. Drivers of disparities in stage at diagnosis among women with breast cancer: South African breast cancers and HIV outcomes cohort. *PLoS One*. 2023;18:e0281916.

48 Rajagopal S. Kerala needs a women's movement for breast cancer: An exploratory study on breast cancer awareness in Kerala. *Journal of International Women's Studies*. 2022;24(1).

49 Agbokey F, Kudzawu E, Dzodzomenyo M, et al. Knowledge and health seeking behaviour of breast cancer patients in

Ghana. *International Journal of Breast Cancer*. 2019;2019:5239840.

50 Maggi RM, Johnson AR, Agrawal T. Community perceptions and individual experiences of breast cancer in communities in and around Bangalore, India: a qualitative study. *Journal of Psychosocial Oncology*. 2022;40:234-46.

51 Gebremariam A, Addissie A, Worku A, et al. Time intervals experienced between first symptom recognition and pathologic diagnosis of breast cancer in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia: a cross-sectional study. *BMJ Open*. 2019;9:e032228.

52 Brinton L, Figueira J, Adjei E, et al. Factors contributing to delays in diagnosis of breast cancers in Ghana, West Africa. *Breast Cancer Research and Treatment*. 2017;162:105-14.

53 Gakunga R, Kinyanjui A, Ali Z, et al. Identifying barriers and facilitators to breast cancer early detection and subsequent treatment engagement in Kenya: a qualitative approach. *The Oncologist*. 2019;24:1549-56.

54 Gebremariam A, Addissie A, Worku A, et al. Perspectives of patients, family members, and health care providers on late diagnosis of breast cancer in Ethiopia: A qualitative study. *PLoS One*. 2019;14:e0220769.

55 Yang K, Msami K, Calixte R, et al. Educational opportunities for down-staging breast cancer in low-income countries: an example from Tanzania. *Journal of Cancer Education*. 2019;34:1225-30.

56 Hanafi I, Alsalkini M, Husein S, et al. The delay of breast cancer diagnosis and management during the Syrian war. *Cancer Epidemiology*. 2023;82:102290.

57 Moodley J, Cairncross L, Naiker T, et al. Understanding pathways to breast cancer diagnosis among women in the Western Cape Province, South Africa: a qualitative study. *BMJ Open*. 2016;6:e009905.

58 McKenzie F, Zietsman A, Galukande M, et al. Drivers of advanced stage at breast cancer diagnosis in the multicountry African breast cancer-disparities in outcomes (ABC-DO) study. *International Journal of Cancer*. 2018;142:1568-79.

59 Gulzar F, Akhtar MS, Sadiq R, et al. Identifying the reasons for delayed presentation of Pakistani breast cancer patients at a tertiary care hospital. *Cancer Management and Research*. 2019;11:1087-96.

60 Foerster M, McKenzie F, Zietsman A, et al. Dissecting the journey to breast cancer diagnosis in sub-Saharan Africa: Findings from the multicountry ABC-DO cohort study. *International Journal of Cancer*. 2021;148:340-51.

61 Momenimovahed Z, Momenimovahed S, Allahqoli L, et al. Factors related to the delay in diagnosis of breast cancer in the world: a systematic review. *Indian Journal of Gynecologic Oncology*. 2022;20:30.

62 Al-Shiekh SSA, Alajerami YS, Abushab KM, et al. Factors affecting delay in the presentation of breast cancer symptoms among women in Gaza, occupied Palestinian territory: a cross-sectional survey. *BMJ Open*. 2022;12:e061847.

63 Martei YM, Vanderpuye V, Jones BA. Fear of mastectomy associated with delayed breast cancer presentation among Ghanaian women. *The Oncologist*. 2018;23:1446-52.

64 Bonsu AB, Ncama BP. Recognizing and appraising symptoms of breast cancer as a reason for delayed presentation in Ghanaian women: A qualitative study. *PLoS One*. 2019;14:e0208773.

65 Agodirin O, Olatoke S, Rahman G, et al. Determinants of late detection and advanced-stage diagnosis of breast cancer in Nigeria. *PLoS One*. 2021;16:e0256847.

66 Farooqi S, Kausar S, Bibi K, et al. Exploring the determinants of delayed diagnosis of breast cancer in the female population of Punjab (Pakistan). *Journal of Pakistan Medical Association*. 2021;71:2429-33.

67 Hussain M, Hasan Z, Ali MA, et al. Delays

in breast cancer diagnosis at a tertiary care facility in karachi, Pakistan. *Journal of Ayub Medical College Abbottabad*. 2021;33:248-51.

68 Ismail HM, Mokhtar S, El-Mansy H. Factors associated with late-stage diagnosis of breast Cancer among Egyptian women. *Journal of Public Health Research*. 2022;10(1 suppl).

69 Balhi S, Khiari H, Hsairi M. Factors associated with diagnostic delays among Tunisian breast cancer patients. *Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention*. 2023;24:471-7.

70 Shakor JK, Mohammed AK. Women's delay in presenting breast cancer symptoms in Kurdistan-Iraq. *Journal of Cancer Research and Therapeutics*. 2020;16:1360-5.

71 Hoveling LA, Schuurman M, Siesling S, et al. Diagnostic delay in women with cancer: What do we know and which factors contribute? *Breast*. 2025;80:104427.

72 Mathioudakis AG, Salakari M, Pylkkanen L, et al. Systematic review on women's values and preferences concerning breast cancer screening and diagnostic services. *Psycho-oncology*. 2019;28:939-47.

73 Dimitrova M, Lakic D, Petrova G, et al. Comparative analysis of the access to health-care services and breast cancer therapy in 10 Eastern European countries. *SAGE Open Medicine*. 2020;8:2050312120922029.

74 Barrios CH. Global challenges in breast cancer detection and treatment. *The Breast*. 2022;62:S3-6.

75 Akoto EJ, Allsop MJ. Factors influencing the experience of breast and cervical cancer screening among women in low-and middle-income countries: a systematic review. *JCO Global Oncology*. 2023;9:e2200359.

76 An J, Hershberger PE, Ferrans CE. Delayed presentation, diagnosis, and treatment of breast cancer among Chinese women: an integrative literature review. *Cancer Nursing*. 2023;46:217-32.

77 Anastasi N, Lusher J. The impact of breast cancer awareness interventions on breast screening uptake among women in the United Kingdom: A systematic review. *Journal of Health Psychology*. 2019;24:113-24.

78 Jones D, Di Martino E, Bradley SH, et al. Factors influencing symptom appraisal and help-seeking of older adults with possible cancer: a mixed-methods systematic review. *British Journal of General Practice*. 2022;72:e702-12.

79 Herbach EL, Weeks KS, O'Rorke M, et al. Disparities in breast cancer stage at diagnosis between immigrant and native-born women: A meta-analysis. *Annals of Epidemiology*. 2021;54:64-72.