ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Comparison of the Effect of Education Using Team-based Learning Method and Lecture Method on Controlling Diabetes in the Elderly: A Quasi-Experimental Study

Tayebeh Mirzaei^{1,2}, PhD; Ali Ravari^{1,2}, PhD; Fatemeh Hosseini^{1,3}, PhD; Elham Hassanshahi¹, MS

¹Geriatric Care Research Center, Rafsanjan University of Medical Sciences, Rafsanjan, Iran; ²Department of Medical Surgical Nursing, School of Nursing and Midwifery, Rafsanjan University of Medical Sciences, Rafsanjan, Iran;

³Department of Community Health Nursing, School of Nursing and Midwifery, Rafsanjan University of Medical Sciences, Rafsanjan, Iran

Corresponding Author:

Ali Ravari, PhD; Geriatric Care Research Center, Rafsanjan University of Medical Sciences, Parastar St.,Motahari Bolv., Postal code: 77187-96755, Rafsanjan, Iran **Tel:** +98 34 34255900; Fax: +98 34 34258497; **Email:** Ravary4776@yahoo.com, dr.ravari@rums.ac.ir

Received: 19 February 2023 Revised: 03 June 2023 Accepted: 26 June 2023

ABSTRACT

Background: Patient education is considered as an essential part of diabetes control. This research is conducted to compare the effect of education using team-based learning (TBL) method and lecture method on blood pressure (BP), serum levels of fasting blood sugar (FBS), glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1c), and Body mass index (BMI) in the elderly with diabetes.

Methods: This quasi-experimental study was conducted on 61 elderly patients with type 2 diabetes in diabetes clinics in Rafsanjan, during October 2018 to January 2019. Patients were selected using simple random sampling. They were divided into two groups of TBL and lecture-based learning. For each group, educational content was presented through 2-hour sessions held once a week for 1 months. FBS, HbA1c, BMI, and BP were measured at baseline and 3 months after the intervention. Data were analyzed through SPSS 21, using Chi-square test, independent t-test, and paired t-test; A P-value<0.05 was considered as significant.

Results: After the intervention, the TBL group had a significant decrease in systolic and diastolic BP compared to the lecture group (P<0.001). Before and 3 months after the intervention in the TBL group, there was a significant decrease between the means of FBS (P=0.01), HbA1c (P<0.001), and systolic and diastolic BP (P<0.001), but in the lecture group, only the systolic BP showed a significant decrease (P<0.001).

Conclusion: TBL method had more effects on controlling diabetes type 2 in the elderly than the lecture method. It is suggested that health providers should consider TBL for the education of the elderly with diabetes.

Keywords: Diabetes mellitus, Learning, Blood pressure, Blood glucose

Please cite this article as: Mirzaei T, Ravari A, Hossieni F, Hassanshahi E. Comparison of the Effect of Education Using Team-based Learning Method and Lecture Method on Controlling Diabetes in the Elderly: A Quasi-Experimental Study. IJCBNM. 2023;11(3):201-209. doi: 10.30476/IJCBNM.2023.97837.2210.

Copyright: ©International Journal of Community Based Nursing and Midwifery. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-Share Alike 4.0 Unported, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

INTRODUCTION

Diabetes is one of the most common metabolic diseases.1 According to the International Diabetes Federation (IDF), the diabetes epidemic is emerging dramatically worldwide. In 2021, the number of diabetes adults reached 537 million and will be 783 million in 2045. In Iran, 5.4 million adults (9.1%) suffer from diabetes, which is estimated to reach 9.5 million by 2045.² Type 2 diabetes accounts for approximately 90% of all diabetes cases.³ Several studies have shown that the prevalence of diabetes increases with age.4,5 According to the report of the IDF in 2019, the number of people with diabetes among those 65 and over has reached 136 million.³ Management of type 2 diabetes is especially challenging in the elderly.⁶

According to the World Health Organization, education is at the core of diabetes prevention and treatment.7 Training, along with the change of attitudes, leads to the alteration of a person's lifestyle and, consequently, the success of medical treatments. It also greatly increases adherence to the use of drugs and, as a result, reduces the possible side effects in them.^{8, 9} The use of the best training methods to achieve maximum efficiency and success is what has been discussed in most studies conducted in this field. According to this purpose, several different educational interventions have been utilized for diabetes by using technology such as the Internet,¹⁰ mobile phones,¹¹ individual and group training, and group discussion training all over the world.^{12, 13} However, due to the low level of literacy and low tendency to technology in the elderly, the application of these methods is not practical.¹⁴ Studies have indicated that the elderly are more vulnerable to complications of diabetes and refer to the emergency rooms due to hypoglycemia caused by diabetes,^{15, 16} which is a sign of the failure of preventive and educational programs to improve lifestyle in these patients.¹⁷ The lecture method is used in Iranian health centers, which usually does not lead to meaningful and deep learning.¹⁸ The lecture is a simple, fast, and cheap method to present vast issues to a lot of groups of learners. Disadvantages of this method include inactive learning, tiring long lectures, one-way communication, and fast forgetting of the issues.¹⁹ As a result, most diabetics do not have eligible control over their blood sugar.²⁰ Therefore, for an effective education for the elderly, the types of methods should be used in which the learner has an active role.9 One of the methods that seem to have the required potential to be used in the training of the elderly is participatory education.²¹ Participatory education has various types, one of which is team-based learning (TBL). TBL is a pedagogical approach that combines both active and cooperative learning.²² Learners have an active role in this method.²² It emphasizes group interaction and the creation of motivation to participate in group discussions.²² Since this method can be implemented with a teacher in a large classroom, it can be used in health centers.²² According to the results of the study conducted on students, it was indicated that the use of the TBL method was more effective than other educational methods in the university.23 Compared with the lecture method, TBL is more emphatic on the learners' innovative consciousness, active communication, and creative ability.²⁴ Also, the TBL method is very suitable for training in allied health professions.25

According to the fact that the elderly population with diabetes is growing rapidly worldwide, they need adequate knowledge to control diabetes, which requires an effective educational method; given that the use of the lecture method has some disadvantages and also due to the characteristics and potentials of the TBL, this study is conducted to compare the effect of education with TBL method and lecture method on BP, FBS, HbA1c, and BMI in the elderly with diabetes.

Methods

This is a quasi-experimental study that was

conducted in the Rafsanjan Diabetes Center in Four months between October 2018 and January 2019. This center provides services to all age groups with both types of diabetes. Services provided include medical examinations and tests, such as disease management, treatment plans, and diabetes education. To estimate the sample size, we considered α =0.05 and β =0.10, power=90%, d=1.2, and σ =1.4 based on HbA1c,²⁶ using the following formula:

$$n = \frac{2\sigma^2 (Z_{1-\alpha/2} + Z_{1-\beta})^2}{d^2}$$
$$n = \frac{2(1.96 + 1.29)^2 \cdot 1.4^2}{1.2^2} = 28.8$$

The minimum required sample for each group was estimated to be 28 people, and with 5% attrition rate, 30 people were considered for each group.

The inclusion criteria of the study were the need for insulin injection, age of 60 to 65 years old, lack of participation in the same training program, willingness to participate in the study, lack of chronic mental disease, or cognitive disorder, and lack of any severe life-threatening disease. Also, the exclusion criteria of the study included not attending one of the training sessions, participating in another training program simultaneously, not performing tests to monitor diabetes status, not being willing to continue attending the training classes, and using other treatment methods such as yoga or traditional treatments.

The participants were selected from among 300 diabetic patients referred to diabetes

clinics using simple random sampling. Then, the participants were divided into two groups. Those in the group of training based on the lecture method participated in 4 sessions of 120 minutes (one session per week) in October 2018 with the topics mentioned in Table 1.

The instructor was one of the diabetes clinic nurses who had a lot of experience in working with diabetic patients. The main researcher supervised her work. Prior to the meetings, the principles of lecture were shared with the individuals. The instructor was selected from outside the research team to avoid any probable bias. In this method, first, the instructor talks about the issue and explains it with slides, and answers the questions of the elderly at the end of the session.

The elderly who were in the TBL method of training group participated in 4 sessions of 120 minutes (one session per week) in October 2018. The topics of the sessions were completely similar to those of the lecture method group of training (Table 1). In the implementation of the TBL method, there were three stages of preparation, program implementation, and evaluation in each session. These sessions were held in the presence of the instructor. In the stage of preparation, the data of the participants, which were received from their environment, physicians, nurses, and routine training programs either during life or after the diagnosis of diabetes, were assessed. In the stage of the implementation of the program, the elderly were divided into small groups of typically five to six elderly in each session. They discussed the topic of the session with each other and became aware of each other's

Session	General topic	The topics taught	Time
Session 1	Nutrition	General principles of nutrition, diabetes eating habits, diabetic diet, low-sodium diet	120 minutes
Session 2	Exercise	The manner of the adjustment of an exercise program, exercise and weight loss, exercise movements and weight loss	120 minutes
Session 3	Prevention of complications	Complications and signs of hypoglycemia and hyperglycemia and prevention of late complications such as effects on eyes, feet, kidneys, and blood pressure	120 minutes
Session 4	Insulin therapy	The time and manner of drawing, injecting and adjusting insulin dose, place of insulin injection, insulin storage and using Glucose meter	120 minutes

Table 1: The teaching protocol based on the lecture method and the team-based learning method

experiences in these groups. Also, at the end of this stage, the person who managed the session provided the required training in the areas where the elderly were challenged by them during group discussions and needed further explanations. The evaluation was conducted at the final stage.

The content of the training package was prepared based on a review of the texts.^{1,27} The initial version of the educational content was provided to 10 faculty members of Rafsanjan Faculty of Nursing and Midwifery, and it was studied. After making the necessary changes in the training program, we prepared the final version of this program and provided it to the instructors.

The training classes were held from 9-11 am in the classroom of the Diabetes Center of Rafsanjan, which is under the supervision of the Deputy of Health of Rafsanjan, to prevent the effect of place and time on the educational process. The lecture method group classes were held on Sunday, and TBL method classes were held on Monday to prevent the exchange of information between the participants of the two groups. Also, during the selection of the samples, we made an attempt to assign the people who were related to each other in separate groups to reduce the possibility of the exchange of information between the participants.

In this study, HbA1c, FBS, BMI, and BP were measured in the two groups before and three months after the end of the intervention. The variable of weight was measured by using a digital scale with an accuracy of 0.1 kg, and the variable of height was measured with a tape meter with an accuracy of 0.5 cm. Then, BMI was calculated and recorded by using the formula of weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared. BP was measured three times during the relaxation time by using a digital sphygmomanometer with a measurement accuracy of 1 mmHg, which was the same device for all participants, and an average value was calculated and recorded for patients according to the guidelines of the European Society of Hypertension. Five ccs of blood samples were taken from patients after 8 hours of overnight fasting. Serum blood levels of HbA1c and FBS were measured and recorded by using an autoanalyzer and the Pars Azmoon commercial assay kits, made in Iran. It should be noted that blood sampling and tests were performed by a certified nurse.

The protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Vice Chancellor for Research of Rafsanjan University of Medical Sciences and Health Services (IR.RUMS. REC.2015.114). The objectives of the study were explained to the patients, and their written informed consent was obtained. The right of withdrawal from the study was preserved for all participants without any changes in their care.

The obtained data were processed through SPSS software version 21. Chi-square was used to compare the demographic characteristics of the two groups. Independent t-test and paired t test were used to compare the mean of variables between and within groups.

RESULTS

A total of 80 elderly patients with diabetes were evaluated for being enrolled in the study, but 19 patients were not willing to participate in the study. Finally, a total of 61 individuals including 33 in the TBL group and 28 in the lecture group participated in our study. The demographic characteristics of the participants are presented in Table 2. Accordingly, the results of the independent sample t-test indicated no significant difference in the mean age of TBL (63.36±4.74) and lecture group (65.92±5.94) (P=0.06). In addition, the results of the chisquare test also showed no significant difference between the two groups in terms of sex and level of education (P>0.05).

There was no significant difference in the mean of FBS (P=0.598), HbA1c (P=0.340), systolic BP (P=0.696), diastolic BP (P=0.357), and BMI (P=0.315) between the two groups before the intervention (Table 3).

Findings showed no significant difference in the mean of FBS (P=0.808), HbA1c (P=0.139),

Variables	Lecture group N (%)	TBLª group N (%)	P value*
Sex			
Female	20 (71.41)	24 (72.72)	0.910
Male	8 (28.62)	9 (27.33)	
Level of education			
Just read and write	15 (53.61)	14 (42.41)	0.317
Elementary School	6 (21.43)	13 (39.42)	
To diploma	7 (25)	6 (18.22)	
History of high blood pressure			
Yes	21 (75)	21 (63.62)	0.340
No	7 (25)	12 (36.43)	
History of other diseases			
Yes	11 (39.33)	21 (63.63)	0.058
No	17 (60.71)	12 (36.42)	
Team-based learning: *Chi-squar	8		

Table 2: Demographic characteristics of the elderly with diabetes in the team-based learning and lecture groups

^aTeam-based learning; *Chi-square

Table 3: Comparison of the desired parameters between the two groups before and 3 months after the intervention

Variables	Lecture group	TBL ^a group	P value*
	Mean±SD	Mean±SD	
FBS ^b (mg/dl)			
Before the intervention	177.32±61.44	184.39 ± 42.08	0.598
3 months after the intervention	165.14 ± 41.04	162.54±41.89	0.808
P value ^{**}	0.313	0.011	
HbA1c ^c (%)			
Before the intervention	7.771.27±	8.06±1.08	0.340
3 months after the intervention	7.61±1.33	7.13±1.18	0.139
P value**	0.453	>0.001	
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)			
Before the intervention	150.71±16.70	152.42±17.09	0.696
3 months after the intervention	144.65±15.54	134.24±13.52	0.007
P value**	>0.001	>0.001	
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)			
Before the intervention	84.46±11.65	86.96±9.43	0.357
3 months after the intervention	80.71±10.69	73.63±6.40	0.002
P value**	0.059	>0.001	
BMI^{d} (kg/m ²)			
Before the intervention	27.67±4.60	28.91±4.88	0.315
3 months after the intervention	27.57±4.67	28.84±4.69	0.298
P value**	0.693	0.741	

^aTeam-based learning; b Fasting Blood Sugar; ^cHemoglobin A1C; ^dBody Mass Index; *Independent T-test; **Paired t-test

and BMI (P=0.298) between the two groups three months after the intervention. However, the difference of the mean of the systolic BP (P=0.007) and diastolic BP (P=0.002) between the two groups was significant three months after the intervention (Table 3).

The mean of the variables of FBS (P=0.011), HbA1c (P<0.001), and systolic and diastolic BP (P<0.001) had a significant decrease in the TBL method group three

months after the intervention. However, in the lecture group, despite the fact that the average FBS, HbA1c and diastolic BP decreased, only the mean of systolic BP had a significant decrease three months after the intervention (P<0.001) (Table 3).

DISCUSSION

In this study, the effect of education with the

TBL method was compared with the lecture method in the elderly with diabetes. The results of our study showed that the impact of the TBL method was more than the lecture method. This finding is consistent with those of some studies.²⁸⁻³³ The results of a study showed that the effect of the TBL method was stronger than the lecture-based learning in improving the ability of clinical reasoning in students.³⁰ The results of another study showed that participation in the TBL education program provided the patients with an opportunity to apply the information they were learning in a new way.33 The above-mentioned studies show that training programs offered by TBL groups can effectively encourage people to increase appropriate health behaviors. The reason for compatibility may be the same training methods of the studies. For example, in the TBL group, there were more group discussions, question and answer, and interpersonal interactions,²² while only lecture was used as a training method in the lecture group.³⁴ Therefore, the rate of learning in patient-centered methods such as the TBL method was higher than the lecture method, which is one of the advantages of learning in a patient-centered and active method compared with current educational patterns and one-way transfer of information.34

In general, the findings of this study indicated that training with TBL resulted in the reduction of the average FBS, HbA1c. These findings have been supported by those of other studies.^{35, 36} The results of a study showed that educational intervention was effective on FBS, HbA1c in the elderly with diabetes.³⁵ Another study also showed that implementing an educational intervention can improve self-care practice and reduce HbA1c in the elderly with type 2 diabetes.³⁶ The results of the mentioned studies emphasize the effectiveness of educational interventions and have improved FBS and HbA1c levels in the diabetic elderly. These findings are consistent with the results of our study.^{35, 36}

Also, in the present study, three months after the intervention, the team-based training method compared to the lecture method had

significantly reduced systolic and diastolic BP in patients with diabetes type 2. This demonstrated that the TBL education method improved BP control in these patients. These findings have been supported by those of other studies. It has been reported that the TBL education method has positive effects on systolic and diastolic BP. The results of another study revealed that TBL education could improve systolic and diastolic BP in patients with diabetes type 2.33,37 The finding of the mentioned studies was consistent with those of the current study. This is indicative of the effect of this non-pharmacological treatment method on reducing BP in the elderly with diabetes.

Furthermore, patients' BMI in this study was measured once after three months, and there was no significant difference between the two methods before and three months after the intervention. However, the results of a study showed that there were clear benefits in the TBL group as to BMI and diabetes knowledge.³³ This contradiction between our findings and that reported by a previous study may be due to the differences in the time period of assessment of BMI after the intervention.

In this study, the subjects were aware of the type of intervention, which was not controllable. The selection of the adult population with type II diabetes who were looking for medical care at the diabetes clinic limited the generalizability of the findings to this statistical population.

The main strength of the present study was its new approach to the educational method, which was without complications for managing the disease and promoting health literacy in diabetic patients. One of the limitations of this study was the short follow-up duration, which was limited to 3 months.

CONCLUSION

The results of this study showed that the TBL method had more effects on controlling diabetes

type 2 in the elderly than the lecture method which was demonstrated via favorable FBS, HbA1c levels, and improvement of systolic and diastolic BP. Providing adequate and useful information by community-based organizations makes diabetic patients able to manage their diabetes properly and prevent its irreversible side effects. On the other hand, the appropriate implementation of the TBL method improves deep learning and facilitates learning in the elderly. Thus, it is recommended that the TBL method should be used along with other methods of patient education for the elderly. Also, further research with longer follow-up periods is suggested to be performed on the issue.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was funded by the Vice Chancellery for Research and Technology of Rafsanjan University of Medical Sciences (Grant No: 93034). As a result, we would like to thank the authorities of the Geriatric Care Research Center and the Vice Chancellery for Research and Technology of Rafsanjan University of Medical Sciences, for financial support of this study. The participation and collaboration of the study participants are acknowledged.

Conflict of Interest: None declared.

References

- 1 International Diabetes Federation. IDF Diabetes Atlas. 7th ed. Belgium: International Diabetes Federation; 2015.
- 2 International Diabetes Federation. IDF Diabetes Atlas. 10th ed. Belgium: International Diabetes Federation; 2021.
- 3 International Diabetes Federation. IDF Diabetes Atlas. 9th ed. Belgium: International Diabetes Federation; 2019.
- 4 Talukder A, Hossain MZ. Prevalence of diabetes mellitus and its associated factors in Bangladesh: application of two-level logistic regression model. Scientific Reports. 2020;10:10237.
- 5 Asiimwe D, Mauti GO, Kiconco R.

Prevalence and risk factors associated with type 2 diabetes in elderly patients aged 45-80 years at Kanungu District. Journal of Diabetes Research. 2020;2020:1-5.

- 6 Miklavcic JJ, Fraser KD, Ploeg J, et al. Effectiveness of a community program for older adults with type 2 diabetes and multimorbidity: a pragmatic randomized controlled trial. BMC Geriatrics. 2020;20:174.
- 7 World Health Organization. Global diffusion of eHealth: making universal health coverage achievable: report of the third global survey on eHealth. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2016.
- 8 Chawla SPS, Kaur S, Bharti A, et al. Impact of health education on knowledge, attitude, practices and glycemic control in type 2 diabetes mellitus. Journal of Family Medicine and Primary Care. 2019;8:261-8.
- 9 Świątoniowska N, Sarzyńska K, Szymańska-Chabowska A, Jankowska-Polańska B. The role of education in type 2 diabetes treatment. Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice. 2019;151:237-46.
- 10 Yang W, Zhang X, Chen J, et al. Effects of internet-based interventions on improvement of glycemic control and selfmanagement in older adults with diabetes: Systematic review and meta-analysis. Primary Care Diabetes. 2022;16:475-83.
- 11 Riangkam C, Sriyuktasuth A, Pongthavornkamol K, et al. Effects of a mobile health diabetes self-management program on HbA1C, self-management and patient satisfaction in adults with uncontrolled type 2 diabetes: a randomized controlled trial. Journal of Health Research. 2022;36:878-88.
- 12 Fuente Coria D, Cruz-Cobo C, Santi-Cano MJ. Effectiveness of a primary care nurse delivered educational intervention for patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus in promoting metabolic control and compliance with long-term therapeutic targets: Randomised controlled trial. International Journal of Nursing Studies. 2020;101:103417.

- 13 Ahrari F, Mohaqiq Z, Moodi M, Bijari B. The effect of self-care training on blood sugar control, HbA1C level, and life quality of diabetic patients in Birjand, East of Iran: A randomized clinical trial study. Advances in Preventive Medicine. 2021;2021:8846798.
- 14 Blažič BJ, Blažič AJ. Overcoming the digital divide with a modern approach to learning digital skills for the elderly adults. Education and Information Technologies. 2020;25:259-79.
- 15 Laiteerapong N, Huang ES. Diabetes in older adults. 3rd ed. USA: Bethesda (MD): National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases; 2018.
- 16 ElSayed NA, Aleppo G, Aroda VR, et al. 13. Older Adults: Standards of Care in Diabetes-2023. Diabetes Care. 2023;46:S216-29.
- 17 Dehghan K, Zareipour MA, Zamaniahari S, Azari MT. Tele education in diabetic patients during coronavirus outbreak. Open Access Macedonian Journal of Medical Sciences. 2020;8:610-2.
- 18 Wolff M, Wagner MJ, Poznanski S, et al. Not another boring lecture: engaging learners with active learning techniques. The Journal of Emergency Medicine. 2015;48:85-93.
- 19 Norozi M, Mohsenizadeh SM, Jafary suny H, Ebrahimzadeh S. The Effect of Teaching Uning a Blend of Collaborative and Mastery of Learning Models, on Learning of Vital Signs: An Experiment on Nursing and Operation Room Students of Mashhad University of Medical Sciences. Iranian Journal of Medical Education. 2011;11:544-53. [In Persian].
- 20 Haak T, Hanaire H, Ajjan R, et al. Flash glucose-sensing technology as a replacement for blood glucose monitoring for the management of insulin-treated type 2 diabetes: a multicenter, open-label randomized controlled trial. Diabetes Therapy. 2017;8:55-73.
- 21 Zendehtalab H, Vanaki Z, Memarian R. Improving the quality of healthy

aging care: a participatory action research. Evidence Based Care Journal. 2020;10:27-36.

- 22 Burgess A, van Diggele C, Roberts C, Mellis C. Team-based learning: design, facilitation and participation. BMC Medical Education. 2020;20:461.
- 23 Gao H, Liu Y, Wang G. Application Research and Practice of TBL Innovative Teaching Method in the Course of Clinical Biochemical Testing Technology. Advanced Emergency Medicine. 2020;9:14.
- 24 Lang B, Zhang L, Lin Y, et al. Teambased learning pedagogy enhances the quality of Chinese pharmacy education: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Medical Education. 2019;19:286.
- 25 Burgess A, Bleasel J, Haq I, et al. Teambased learning (TBL) in the medical curriculum: better than PBL? BMC Medical Education. 2017;17:243.
- 26 Siavoshy H, Heidarianpour A. Effects of Three Type Exercise Training Programs on FBS and HbA1C of Elderly Men with Type 2 Diabetes. Iranian Journal of Diabetes and Obesity. 2017;9:14-9.
- 27 International Diabetes Federation. International curriculum for diabetes health professional education. Belgium: International Diabetes Federation; 2008.
- 28 Hashmi NR. Team Based Learning (TBL) in undergraduate medical education. Journal of the College of Physicians and Surgeons--Pakistan. 2014;24:553-6.
- 29 Ozgonul L, Alimoglu MK. Comparison of lecture and team-based learning in medical ethics education. Nursing Ethics. 2019;26:903-13.
- 30 Ulfa Y, Igarashi Y, Takahata K, et al. A comparison of team-based learning and lecture-based learning on clinical reasoning and classroom engagement: a cluster randomized controlled trial. BMC Medical Education. 2021;21:444.
- 31 Franz MJ, Boucher JL, Rutten-Ramos S, VanWormer JJ. Lifestyle weight-loss intervention outcomes in overweight and obese adults with type 2 diabetes:

a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. Journal of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics. 2015;115:1447-63.

- 32 Lotfaliany Abrand Abadi M. Effectiveness of lifestyle intervention programs in the prevention of type 2 diabetes and reduction of cardiovascular diseases risk in resource-constrained settings. [Thesis]. Australia: Melbourne School of Population and Global Health; 2019.
- 33 Frame TR, Draime JA, Franz TT. Impact of using team-based learning in patient education on diabetes outcomes. USA: Cedarville University; 2015. [Cited 11 June 2023]. Available from: https://works. bepress.com/thaddeus_franz/61/
- 34 Nawabi S, Bilal R, Javed MQ. Team-based learning versus Traditional lecture-based learning: An investigation of students' perceptions and academic achievements.

Pakistan Journal of Medical Sciences. 2021;37:1080-5.

- 35 Hajipour A, Javadi M, Zeidi IM. The Effect of Stage-Matched Educational Intervention on Behavior Change and Glycemic Control in Elderly Patients with Diabetes. Clinical Diabetology. 2022;11:232-8.
- 36 Esferjani SV, Naghizadeh E, Albokordi M, et al. Effectiveness of a mobile-based educational intervention on self-care activities and glycemic control among the elderly with type 2 diabetes in southwest of Iran in 2020. Archives of Public Health. 2022;80:201.
- 37 Ahmed SF, Aiash H, Abdel-Wahid HA. Improving Hypertension Control via a Team-based Educational and Refill Monitoring (TERM) Intervention, Sharjah, United Arab Emirates. World Family Medicine Journal. 2016;14:8-15.